



**MINUTES of the SIXTEENTH MEETING
of the FINANCE COMMITTEE
held at IISER, Mohali on 17th March 2017**

The Sixteenth Meeting of the Finance Committee was held on 17th March 2017 at 11:00 a.m. in the Committee Room, The Indian Institute of Science Education & Research, Knowledge City, Mohali, Punjab.

The following members attended the meeting:

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Prof. R.K. Kolhi, Vice Chancellor | : | Chairman |
| 2. Dr. Avichal Kapur
Joint Secretary UGC | : | Nominee of Joint Secretary (CU), UGC |
| 3. Sh. Subodh Kumar Ghildiyal
Deputy Secretary (MHRD) | : | Nominee of Joint Secretary (CU&L), MHRD |
| 4. Sh. Fazal Mahmood
Deputy Secretary (Fin.) (HE) | : | Nominee of Joint Secretary & FA, MHRD |
| 5. Prof. P. Ramarao | : | Member |
| 6. Dr. Jagdeep Singh | : | Special Invitee |
| 7. Sh. Ajit Singh | : | Finance Officer & Secretary |

The Chairman formally welcomed the members of the Finance Committee and thanked them for sparing their valuable time from their busy schedules to attend the 16th meeting of the Finance Committee.

The Chairman initiated the proceedings by presenting the agenda items in sequence.

Item: FC:16:2017:1

To confirm the minutes of the Fifteenth Meeting of Finance Committee held on 26th September 2016.

The Chairman apprised the members that the draft minutes of the Fifteenth Meeting of Finance Committee were circulated to all the members of the Finance Committee on 3rd October 2016.

As no comments/suggestions on the minutes were received from any member the final minutes were circulated to members on 17th November 2016.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee unanimously RESOLVED to confirm the minutes of the 15th Meeting of Finance Committee held on 26th September 2016.



Item: FC:16:2017:2

To accept the Actions Taken Report (ATR) on the decisions of 15th Meeting of Finance Committee held on 26th September 2016.

The Chairman presented the Action Taken Report (ATR) on the decisions of the Finance Committee taken in its 15th Meeting held on 26th September 2016. The details of the actions taken are placed at **Annexure-16.1**.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee expressed its satisfaction on the actions taken and RESOLVED to accept the Action Taken Report (ATR) on the decisions taken by it in its 15th Meeting held on 26th September 2016.

Item: FC:16:2017:3

To consider the report of the Vice Chancellor on the progress of the University.

The Vice Chancellor presented the progress report of the University since the last meeting of the FC dated 26th September 2016. A detailed PowerPoint presentation was made on the various activities of the University (**Annexure-16.2**).

The Vice Chancellor shared that the University has been accredited with “**A**” **grade from NAAC in its first cycle**. The house was also informed that the University has ranked 65th out of 3565 institutions evaluated by MHRD under National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF). *The Joint Secretary, UGC updated that the NIRF rankings were separate for Universities and total 233 Universities participated for the ranking.*

The Vice Chancellor shared about the research activities at the University that has given a lead position to the Central University of Punjab in terms of *h*-factor, citations, SCOPUS standing, amongst all newly established Central Universities. The faculty of CUPB has over 127 research projects worth more than Rs. 21 crores and sanction of a few more projects are in the pipeline.

The members were told that 76 academic programs were offered by the University in Session 2017, and 21001 applications (through CUCET) were received against 515 seats offered by the University. The University is the first amongst the newly established Central University to offer online counselling for admissions, for the first time, from this admission session (2016-17). Of the 515 available seats, 407 students have been admitted in current session to various courses of the University.

The other details included present student strength *vis-a-vis* previous years, the high number of students qualifying competitive examinations, faculty



and staff strength, research projects at CUPB and academic collaborations with research and educational institutions. The University has the **best ratio of students qualifying UGC/CSIR – NET, GATE, GPAT, ICMR** examinations (224 out of eligible 307 students (2012 – 13 batch) qualified in National Tests).

The Vice Chancellor also informed that the University celebrated Yoga Week from 15th June to 21st June 2106 and Independence Day Fortnight from 8th August to 22nd August, 2016; lectures were held by renowned speakers on various commemorative days and 13th National Youth Parliament competition as held on 9th September, 2016. The university also participated in VISAKA campaign of the Government of India and scored 8th position from 4896 institutes in spreading awareness about digital economy.

The presentation concluded with the status of ongoing construction work at the Main Campus of the University.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee noted the progress made by the University since 26th September 2016, and expressed satisfaction.

Item: FC:16:2017:4

To consider the service benefits for regular employees.

The Secretary informed the Committee that as per Section 36 of the Central Universities Act, 2009 :

“The University shall constitute for the benefit of its employees such provident or pension fund or provide such insurance schemes as it may deem fit in such manner and subject to such conditions as may be prescribed by the Statues.”

The nominees from MHRD and UGC stressed that that there should be no ambiguity regarding the fact that the employees of the autonomous bodies are not covered under the category of the Central Government employees as far as perks and benefits are concerned. The instructions of DoPT, Department of Pensions, Department of Expenditure, under the Ministry of Finance are not automatically extended to autonomous organization’s employees.

The members further advised that all the autonomous bodies are empowered to frame their own conditions of service and define structure of emoluments and allowances, which cannot be, however, more than those allowed to the Central Government employees. It was also stressed that UGC is the regulatory body for the Central Universities and it defines conditions and standards and terms and conditions of service of CU’s employees.



The Finance Committee advised that the MHRD has already issued a letter in 2014 in regard to service portability and relaxation of existing provisions to attract and retain talent in CUs. This letter of MHRD may be looked into and then a further detailed proposal may be submitted to UGC & MHRD for CPF, Service Gratuity and Leave Encashment for further examination and decision thereof.

The committee was further of the view that the self-contributory insurance scheme by employees may be allowed.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee, after due discussion, RESOLVED that the University should follow the instructions of its regulatory body UGC and may send a detailed self-contained proposal, after looking into the matter explicitly, for consideration of UGC.

Item: FC:16:2017:5

To consider the proceedings of the committee constituted for grant of non - compounded increments to faculty.

The Secretary informed that a committee was constituted for grant of non-compounded increment to faculty in lieu of their possessing of M.Phil. /Ph.D. /L.L.M. degree. . The committee considered these cases in line with Executive Council's decision in its 12th meeting vide Item No.EC:12:2014:4 **to follow UGC regulations 2010** (F.3-1/2009 dated 30.6.2010) **for grant of non-compounded increments to the faculty.**

Representatives from MHRD and UGC were of the view that this is an administrative matter and there are well-defined guidelines of UGC in this regard. Hence, such kind of proposals should not form a part of the agenda of the Finance Committee.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee after discussion RESOLVED, that since this agenda item is administrative in nature, does not need to be a part of the Finance Committee agenda. The grant of non - compounded increments to faculty should be strictly regulated as per the clearly defined guidelines of UGC in this regard.



केंद्रीय विश्वविद्यालय पंजाब

Central University of Punjab

Item: FC:16:2017:6

To consider the guidelines for awarding Cumulative Professional Allowance.

The Secretary informed that Executive Council in its 5th meeting had approved Cumulative Professional Allowance (CPA) as recommended by the Academic Council and Finance Committee in their 3rd & 5th meeting respectively. However, there were no explicit guidelines for awarding these allowances to faculty.

The Vice Chancellor constituted a committee to suggest the terms and condition for awarding CPA. The Committee in its meeting held on 8th February 2017 recommended the guidelines for utilization of CPA which were placed before the FC for consideration and approval (**Annexure-16.3**).

The Secretary informed that under the unassigned grants such allowances are being given by most of the Central and State universities in India for travel to faculty for attending Conferences in India and abroad. It has also been approved by the Finance Committee in its 5th meeting. The current agenda is only related to framing of guidelines for its utilization.

The representatives of UGC informed that UGC has no provision for any such allowances and the Item is not in agreement with the UGC guidelines.

It was argued that the term allowance is not proper as it is reimbursement of the travel cost etc. The UGC does not have facility for reimbursement of travel allowance for University teachers, though, such provision for College teachers exists. The University faculty also need a provision of financial reimbursement for expenditure on national and international travel for attending Conference etc. Failing which our faculty will have to miss occasions of updating their knowledge and show case their work or improve.

The MHRD representatives suggested that matter be regulated as per UGC standard guidelines specifying the budget head under which such allowances are covered. The nomenclature of the allowance may be checked/ changed to meet expenditure for national/international conferences. In case of any clarifications or any special dynamic requirements of the University the matter be taken up directly with the UGC.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee after deliberations RESOLVED that matter should be sorted out as per guidelines of UGC, which were issued in view of the utilization of grant for the 12th FY Plan.



केंद्रीय विश्वविद्यालय पंजाब

Central University of Punjab

Item: FC:16:2017:7

To consider the Budget Estimates of the University for the year 2017-18.

The Secretary shared with the Committee that university in its meeting at UGC on 09/02/2017 presented a budget estimate of Rs.152.53 Cr for the year 2017-18 as per below details:

Budget Estimates						
Central University Of Punjab (Rs. In Lacs)						
SN	Item	FY 2016-17				FY 2017-18
		Opening Balance	Budget	Amount Released	Actual Expenditure (As on 31.01.17)	
I	GRANTS IN AID GENERAL (RECURRING) (31)	745	2500	1600	1061.4	1583
II	GRANTS IN AID SALARY (36)	928	1500	1200	968.19	2153
III	GRANTS OF CAPITAL ASSETS (NON RECURRING) (35)	4366	14800	6800	7013.74	11517
	Grand Total (I+II+III)	6039	18800	9600	9043.33	15253

The Secretary justified the projections made in the budget and placed the agenda item along with details at **Annexure-16.4** for approval of Finance Committee.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee considered the budget estimates and RESOLVED to approve the budget estimates of the University for the Financial Year 2017-18.

Item: FC:16:2017:8

To consider the requirement of additional buildings amounting Rs. 318 Crores to complete the construction work of Phase-1 of Main Campus, Ghudda.

The Secretary presented before the Committee the recommendations of the Building Advisory Committee (BAC) in its 35th meeting. The BAC recommended a proposal of Rs.318 Cr for buildings under Phase-1. The proposal to provide funds to the extent of Rs.318 Cr for construction was considered by FC.

The representatives of MHRD & UGC initially did not support the proposal in the view of the fact that university is still in project mode and availability of funds to University will be decided by UGC accordingly.

The activity of constitution of buildings was taken up in phases (unlike other Universities) depending upon the availability of fund allocations. The first phase was split into IA and IB. Priorities were refixed and accordingly, to begin with one (instead of two) Academic blocks one Boys and two Girls Hostel, a Guest House, some quarters for staff and junior faculty were taken



up in the first part of the phase IA. The 'B' part of the phase I included, PG Hostel (Women), PG Hostel (Men), Student Dining Area, VIP Guest House, Staff Housing (Type A), Staff Housing (Type E), Staff Housing (Type F), Water Utility Tank.

The Committee agreed that since the University is still in first phase and very necessary buildings like – Library, Administration Block, VC's residence, Professor's residences, Registrar Residence, Auditorium, Creche, Health Centre, Shopping Complex, External Development Work etc. are required.

However, in light of the UGC letter, it was recommended by FC that since requirement of funds to complete on-going projects is essential, the University may approach UGC for release of funds so that pace of development of the university is adversely affected.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee, after discussions, RESOLVED that the University may send the proposal to UGC for grant of funds to maintain the pace of development of the Main Campus.

Item: FC:16:2017:9

To consider and approve the provisions for the remaining portion of the main entrance corridor between Academic Block and already constructed left hand side portion of the main entrance corridor for the completion of Phase -1 A at an estimated cost of Rs. 4.59 crore.

The Secretary presented before the Finance Committee the recommendations of BAC for construction of the left over portion of entrance corridor. The Building Advisory Committee in its 35th meeting considered that the left hand side portion of the entrance corridor (about 650 mtr) stand already constructed. Due to paucity of funds, a portion of the required road up to Academic Block was not taken in hand at that time. Now this remaining portion is required to be completed to make the university operational. Only left hand side road of the remaining portion of main entrance corridor between the Academic Block and already constructed entrance corridor for the completion of Phase 1A at an estimated cost of Rs. 4.59 crores.

The FC was of the view that the recommendations is not supported with mandatory Abstract Cost Performa, rate confirmatory certificate, and other supporting documents as per the guidelines issued by the UGC, hence the decision cannot be taken as such in absence of these documents. It was clarified that the documents are already prepared but not available at the venue of the meeting in Mohali.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee, after discussion, RESOLVED to defer the agenda item for the next meeting.



Item:FC:16:2017:10

To consider the terms and conditions for appointment of Arbitrator of CUPB.

The Secretary informed the Finance Committee that the University proposes to formalize terms and conditions for engaging an arbitrator.

The FC was of the view that any proposal for appointment of arbitrator should be in conformity with recommendations of Ministry of Law and Justice or any other appropriate competent authority/agency. The guidelines of the Arbitration Act may also be consulted.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee considered the terms and conditions for appointment of arbitrator and RESOLVED to take recommendations of an appropriate authority like Ministry of Law and Justice, into consideration before finalizing the terms and conditions for such appointment.

Item:FC:16:2017:11

To consider the amenities/facilities for the officials of the university.

The Secretary informed that university proposes to adopt Model UGC Ordinances/Regulations to be applicable on all issues which have financial implications.

The UGC representative asked university to be more specific in its proposal and recommended that university may frame its specific Ordinances by taking UGC Model Ordinances as a base and get them approved from the Executive Council.

It was argued that wherever the CUP Ordinance are silent on the issues of facilities to the officers, the Model Guidelines/Ordinances of the UGC (April 2012) be allowed to be adopted.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee considered the agenda item and RESOLVED to recommend this agenda item to EC after specific Ordinances are framed by the University. These should preferably be in line with the Model Ordinances of April 2012.



केंद्रीय विश्वविद्यालय पंजाब
Central University of Punjab

Item:FC:16:2017:12

To consider the representation of faculty of the University in the light of the letter dated 13th February 2017 of MHRD.

The Secretary informed that, the Executive Council in its 22nd meeting vide Item: EC: 22:2016:36 after discussions, unanimously RESOLVED to approve the recommendations of the Finance Committee to fix HRA at the rate of 10% of the pay w.e.f. the salary of October 2016, instead of 20% of the pay as per Gol rules.

The EC has FURTHER RESOLVED, that Prof. Ashok Dhawan, a member of EC will put up a representation to request the Finance Committee to withdraw its decision of recovery of the excess amount of HRA already paid to employees, from the employees. A representation, accordingly, was sent to the Ministry in this regard on 5th December 2016.

A letter dated 13th February 2017 has been received from MHRD (**Annexure-16.5**) conveying that,

“..as per GFR209(6)(iv)(a), all grantee Institutions or Organisations which receive more than fifty per cent of their recurring expenditure in form of grants-in-aid from the Central Government, it should ordinarily formulate terms and conditions of service of their employees which are, by and large, not higher than those applicable to similar categories of employees in Central Government. As Central University of Punjab is receiving 100% grant from Central Government, the University is governed by the instructions issued by the Government of India from time to time, including in the matter of HRA to its employees. Accordingly, payment of HRA to the employees of the University are to be regulated in accordance with the Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure’s O.M. No.2 (13)/2008-E.II (B) dated 29.08.2008 and O.M. No.2/5/2014-E.II (B) dated 21.07.2015.

The payment of excess HRA to the employees of the University since 2011 to till the date of its discontinuation is unauthorized payment due to not being in consonance with GOI Rules and therefore, it should be recovered.”

The Secretary said that in view of the resistance from the faculty regarding recovery of excess HRA paid to faculty, the FC may like to consider the request of faculty for some relaxation on recoveries.

The FC was of the view that in case of recoveries the rules of MHRD are very clear, hence recoveries will have to be made as per rules.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee, after due deliberations, RESOLVED that due recoveries should be made for HRA, as per letter dated 13th February 2017



केंद्रीय विश्वविद्यालय पंजाब
Central University of Punjab

received from MHRD.

Item: FC:16:2017:13

To guide on the exemption of Service Tax to the Central University of Punjab.

The Secretary informed the Committee that as per Government of India, Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) Notification No.9/2016-Service Tax (**Annexure-16.6**), Clause 12 A should be admissible in case of Central University.

The Committee was of the view that specific advice may be sought from UGC/MHRD in this regard, in consultation with Ministry of Revenue.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee RESOLVED that the University may seek specific advice from UGC /MHRD on the agenda item.

Item: FC:16:2017:14

To consider annual fee and funds of various programmes of the University for the academic session 2017-18.

The Secretary informed the Finance Committee that university proposes a nominal annual hike in fee and funds at the rate of 7.5% for the admissions of 2017-18 based on the recommendation of committee constituted under the chairmanship of Dean Academic Affairs.

The Secretary further informed that committee also recommended no change in hostel fee till the students are residing at transit campus.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee considered the agenda item and RESOLVED to approve the recommendations of the committee constituted to suggest annual fee and funds of various programmes of the University w.e.f. the academic session 2017-18.

Item: FC:16:2017:15

To ratify the payment to Engineers India Limited (EIL) for the Project Management Consultancy Services of Rs. 32,57,489/- .

The Secretary informed the Finance Committee that the Building Advisory Committee in its 34th meeting discussed the matter regarding payment amounting to Rs. 32,57,489/- against consultancy fee bill for construction of Phase -1 A works to EIL. The slow progress of Phase – 1A works was viewed very seriously by the committee. The BAC noted that the efforts put by EIL as



Project Management Consultants of CUPB for the completion of Phase -1A works are not as per project requirements and hence delay has occurred.

After due deliberations and recommendations of Sub Committee of BAC, the Building Advisory Committee decided that payment to EIL may be released with the rider that EIL should make additional efforts for the timely completion of the project otherwise penalty clause of agreement shall be operated.

The Committee decided to make payment amounting to Rs. 32,57,489/- against the payment of consultancy fee bill for construction of Phase -1 A (Section A & B) works as per agreement to EIL with the request that EIL should make additional efforts to achieve the scheduled targets.

The Committee considered the agenda item and expressed its serious concern with regard to the role of Project Management Consultant (PMC) in monitoring the execution of civil works, particularly the fact that the project has been delayed without any valid justifications. The University should take up the matter with the PMC and should issue clear directions to PMC that it is their responsibility to ensure that the project is completed as per approved scope of work without any time and cost overruns. The University might also explore the possible remedial measures in view of the provisions of the contract document entered into with the PMC and also the architect. Wherever applicable and if possible any penal clause that can be invoked, should be invoked to safeguard the interests of the University.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee considered the agenda item and RESOLVED to ratify the recommendations of the Building Advisory Committee for payment of Rs. 32,57,489/- to Engineers India Limited subject to the above observations.

Item: FC:16:2017:16

To ratify the payment to M/s KSMB & Sons for extra item of clearance of shrubs and grasses etc. amounting Rs. 6,52,700/-.

The Secretary informed the Finance Committee that the Building Advisory Committee in its 34th meeting approved the extra item claim of clearance of shrubs and grasses. for Rs. 6,52,700/- duly checked and recommended by EIL.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee considered the agenda item and RESOLVED to ratify the recommendations of the Building Advisory Committee for payment of Rs 6,52,700/- to M/s KSMB & Sons for extra item of clearance of Shrubs & Grasses etc.



Item No:FC:16:2017:17

To ratify the payment of Rs. 40,96,551/- to Pradeep Sachdeva Design Associates.

The Secretary informed the Finance Committee that the Building Advisory Committee in its 35th meeting approved the payment amounting to Rs. 40,96,551/- to M/s Pradeep Sachdeva Design Associates.

The Committee considered the agenda item and again reiterated its serious concern with regard to the role of Project Management Consultant (PMC) in monitoring the execution of civil works, particularly the fact that the project has been delayed without any valid justifications. The FC stressed that the University should take up the matter with the PMC and should issue clear directions to PMC that it is their responsibility to ensure that the project is completed as per approved scope of work without any time and cost overruns. The University might also explore the possible remedial measures in view of the provisions of the contract document entered into with the PMC and also the architect. Wherever applicable and if possible any penal clause that can be invoked, should be invoked to safeguard the interests of the University.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee considered the agenda item and RESOLVED to ratify the recommendations of the Building Advisory Committee for payment of Rs 40,96,551/- to M/s Pradeep Sachdeva Design Associates for Architectural Consultancy Fee Bill, subject to the above observations.

Item No:FC:16:2017:18

To ratify the payment of Rs. 30 crore in ESCROW account of CUPB & EIL for making running bill payments of contractor.

The Secretary informed the Finance Committee that the Engineers India Limited raised the demand of Rs. 30 crore against the payment of running bills of contractor for construction of Phase-1A & Phase 1B works. The Building Advisory committee in the 35th meeting approved the payment amounting to Rs. 30 Crore in Escrow account of CUPB & EIL.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee considered the agenda item and RESOLVED to ratify the recommendations of the Building Advisory Committee for payment of Rs. 30 crore to ESCROW account between EIL & CUPB for making running bill payments of the contractor.



Item No:FC:16:2017:19

To ratify the minutes of the 33rd meeting of the Building Advisory Committee held on 11th November 2016.

The Secretary presented the minutes of 33rd meeting of Building Advisory Committee before the Finance Committee for consideration and ratification (*Annexure-16.7*).

The FC considered the recommendations of the BAC and the representatives of MHRD & UGC emphasized that the award and execution of works and release of payments to PMC and architect should be made in accordance with the relevant rules of GFR and guidelines of CVC so as to ensure that the interest of university is fully protected.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee considered the agenda item and RESOLVED to ratify the recommendations of the Building Advisory Committee given in its 33rd meeting, in light of the advice of FC.

Item No:FC:16:2017:20

To ratify the minutes of the 34th Meeting of the Building Advisory Committee held on 7th December 2016.

The Secretary presented the minutes of 34th meeting of Building Advisory Committee before the Finance Committee for consideration and ratification (*Annexure-16.8*).

The FC considered the recommendations of the BAC and the representatives of MHRD & UGC stressed on the fact that the award and execution of works and release of payments to PMC and architect should be made in accordance with the relevant rules of GFR and guidelines of CVC so as to ensure that the interest of university is fully protected.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee considered the agenda item and RESOLVED to ratify the recommendations of the Building Advisory Committee given in its 34th meeting, in light of the advice of FC.

Item No:FC:16:2017:21

To ratify the minutes of the 35th Meeting of the Building Advisory Committee held on 15th February 2017.

The Secretary placed the minutes of 35th meeting of Building Advisory



Committee before the Finance Committee for consideration and ratification.

The FC considered the recommendations of the BAC as placed at **Annexure-16.9**. The representatives of MHRD & UGC reiterated that the award and execution of works and release of payments to PMC and architect should be made in accordance with the relevant rules of GFR and guidelines of CVC so as to ensure that the interest of university is fully protected.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee considered the agenda item and RESOLVED to ratify the recommendations of the Building Advisory Committee given in its 35th meeting, in light of the advice of FC.

Item No:FC:16:2017:22

To consider and guide the purchase of 600 MHz NMR Spectrometer and Powder X-Ray Diffractometer.

The Secretary informed the FC that university is in the process of purchasing the 600 MHz NMR Spectrometer and Powder X –ray Diffractometer.

It was further informed that the technical bids of above two listed equipment have been evaluated by the internal committee and external experts. The FC noted that though the Item is supported by the recommendations of the Internal Committees as placed at **Annexure-16.10**, the recommendations of the external experts given in their meeting held on 7th March 2017 are wanting.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee considered the agenda item and RESOLVED to *defer* the agenda item to next meeting with recommendations of both the internal committee and external experts.

Item No:FC:16:2017:23

To consider construction of porta hostels for girl students as a viable solution for the paucity of space at the City Campus.

The Secretary informed that the University has to make admissions for the forthcoming session 2017-18 and has promised to the ministry, to increase the intake of the students in the current year.

It was further informed that, in the forthcoming session, the additional demand for the hostel accommodation for girls is projected at 113 approximately. The main campus of the University is under construction and as per the expectations of the University the hostels and academic block will



not be ready before the start of coming session (2017-18).

The University proposes to construct porta hostel for meeting the additional requirement for lodging girl students. The necessary area for construction has been marked where *pucca* floor and roof already exists. The University proposes to construct only partitions and bathrooms. The approximate cost estimates were presented to FC as placed at **Annexure-16.11**.

The FC was of the view that the agenda item needs to come through the BAC with its recommendations and the proposal should include the details of the abstract cost of construction.

RESOLVE

In view of the discussions the Finance Committee RESOLVED to *defer* the agenda item to next meeting.

Item No:FC:16:2017:24

To consider the rates for outside samples to be analysed using hi-end analytical equipment of CIL of the University

The Secretary informed the Finance Committee that the Central Instrumentation Laboratory (CIL) of the University has started bringing to notice of the outside users that its analytical services are now available on payment basis.

The proposed rates for analysing samples by CIL was presented to FC as placed at **Annexure-16.12**. The Secretary further informed that the CIL will use the payments received to meet the cost of chemicals/reagents (consumables) as well as the AMC/CMC charges for the un-interrupted working of the hi-end equipment to provide services to the users.

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee RESOLVED to approve the rates for outside samples to be analysed by CIL of the university.

Item No:FC:16:2017:25

To consider adoption of GFR, 2017 by the University.

The Secretary informed the Finance Committee that the GFR, 2017 have been released and the approval of the FC is sought for adopting these financial guidelines.

The Finance Committee recommended that GFR, 2017 are binding on the University and must be immediately adopted.



RESOLVE

The Finance Committee RESOLVED to approve the adoption of GFR, 2017 by the University.

Item No:FC:16:2017:26

Fixing date of the next meeting of the Finance Committee

RESOLVE

The Finance Committee authorized the Vice Chancellor to fix the date of the next meeting as per requirement.

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

Ajit Singh
Finance Officer & Secretary,
Finance Committee

Minutes Approved

Prof. R.K. Kohli
Vice Chancellor & Chairman,
Finance Committee